UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,449	01/27/2006	Leszek Cwiklinski	BASF10116	5476	
	7590 06/13/200 LAW GROUP PLLC	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 31686			ROWAN, KURT C		
RALEIGH, NC 27612			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3643		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/13/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/566,449	CWIKLINSKI ET	AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kurt Rowan	3643				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply with the Set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 I	February 2008					
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		s. prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-19 and 21-40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
′—	Claim(s) <u>1-3, 20, 41-43</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	or					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		the Evaminer				
10)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre-	• , ,	, ,	CED 1 101/d\			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	, ,			
		Lammer. Note the attached v	Since Action of form 1	10-102.			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	l 19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer		n e N				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
^ \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date ormal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/566,449 Page 2

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 4-19, 21-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/19/2008

2. Applicant's election with traverse of the election of species in the reply filed on 2/19/2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no undue burden on the examiner to review all of the species. This is not found persuasive because 21 different species places an undue burden on the examiner. Claims 4-5 are not readable on Fig. 3 since they recite the device is in the shape of a funnel which Fig. 3 is clearly not. These claims appear to be drawn to Fig. 7.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 41, 42, 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 19531981 A1 for substantially the same reasons stated in the first Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,449 Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

The DE '1981 patent shows an insect trap having a catching part with an outlet opening and a container for storing caught pests connected to a device. DE '1981 shows a catching part 1 with an outlet opening 2, a container 6, and a device 5 for separating the captured pests from water and small debris. DE '1981 discloses a net attached to the top area of the funnel. In reference to claim 41, DE '1981 shows using a trap having a catching part, and a container for storing the captured insects with a device for separating the captured insects from water and small debris being placed along a path which caught insects move. The trap is hung with a bottom of the container for storing caught insects in a horizontal position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 19531981 A1.

The DE '1981 patent has been discussed above. In reference to claim 20, DE '1981 does not disclose making the mesh from hydrophobic material, but it would have been obvious to make the mesh from hydrophobic material so that water would not be attracted to it and directly pass by.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,449 Page 4

Art Unit: 3643

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 2/19/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's response overcomes the examiners objection to the drawings. Applicant argues that in DE '1981, the selective net is not capable of separating or excluding water and small debris from entering the container. DE '1981 discloses that the size of the mesh is changeable. DE '1981 does not disclose exactly how the trap works. However, insects can be trapped in the mesh above the container and then the trap can be taken apart and those insects can be dumped into the container after the water is drained/dumped out. This rational assumes that the target species is larger than the mesh size and that water an smaller insects will pass through the mesh and be stored in the container. The claims should recite more structure of the invention to overcome the art of record.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3643

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is (571) 272-6893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (571) 272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kurt Rowan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

KR

/Kurt Rowan/

Application/Control Number: 10/566,449

Page 6

Art Unit: 3643

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3643

Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/566,449	CWIKLINSKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kurt Rowan	3643	